National Judicial Academy

P-1318: Contemporary Judicial Developments and Strengthening Justice though Law &
Technology
26th – 27th November, 2022

Programme Coordinator : Dr. Amit Mehrotra and Ms. Shruti J. Eusebius
No. of Participants : 99
No. of forms received : 78

	I. OVERALL			
PROPOSITION	**To a great extent (%)**	**To some extent (%)**	**Not at all (%)**	**Remarks**
a. The objective of the programme was clear to me	**92.31**	**7.69**	**-**	27. Beneficial. 44. Concept was clear. 47. Concept was clear. 52. Effective. 53. Concept was clear. 54. Concept was clear. 55. New concept & new thoughts. 56. Concept is as clear. 57. Concept was clear. 66. Good. 67. Very good.
b. The subject matter of the programme is useful and relevant to my work	**94.87**	**5.13**	**-**	27. Very relevant and useful. 44. Concept was clear. 47. Concept was clear. 52. Useful. 53. Concept was clear. 54. Concept was clear. 55. Very useful. 56. Concept is as clear. 57. Concept was clear. 66. Good. 67. Very good.
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this programme	**92.31**	**7.69**	**-**	27. Very beneficial. 44. Concept was clear. 47. Concept was clear. 52. Useful. 53. Concept was clear. 54. Concept was clear. 55. Yes new thought process. 56. Concept is as clear. 57. Concept was clear. 66. Good. 67. Very good.
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	**93.42**	**6.58**	**-**	27. Definitely new knowledge gained. 30. Yes. 44. Concept was clear. 47. Concept was clear. 52. Useful. 53. Concept was clear. 54. Concept was clear. 55. Yes and it has changed my work idea. 56. Concept is as clear. 57. Concept was clear.

PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks
				66. Good. 67. Very useful.
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	**84.21**	**15.79**	**-**	27. Ample time was given. 30. Yes. 44. Concept was clear. 47. Concept was clear. 52. Useful. 53. Concept was clear. 54. Concept was clear. 55. Yes. 56. Concept is as clear. 57. Concept was clear. 66. Good. 67. Satisfying.

II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	**To a great extent (%)**	**To some extent (%)**	**Not at all (%)**	**Remarks**
The programme provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	**88.16**	**11.84**	**-**	27. Good. 44. Yes very useful. 47. Yes very useful. 52. Yes. 53. Yes very useful. 54. Yes very useful. 55. Yes for improve my work. 56. Yes very useful. 57. Yes very useful. 58. Yes very useful to me. 67. Good.
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	**88.31**	**11.69**	**-**	27. Very in sighted. 44. Yes very useful. 47. Yes very useful. 52. Effective and useful. 54. Yes very useful. 55. Yes for improving my work. 56. Yes very useful. 57. Yes very useful. 58. Yes very useful to me. 67. Good.
c. Up to date	**89.19**	**10.81**	**-**	27. Very informative. 44. Yes very useful. 47. Yes very useful. 52. Effective and useful. 54. Yes very useful. 55. Yes for improve my work. 56. Yes very useful. 57. Yes very useful. 58. Yes very useful to me. 67. Good.
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	**83.33**	**16.67**	**-**	27. Very good. 44. Yes very useful. 47. Yes very useful. 52. Effective and useful. 54. Yes very useful.

				55. Yes for improve my work. 56. Yes very useful. 57. Yes very useful. 58. Yes very useful to me. 67. Good.
e. Related to International Legal Norms	**61.54**	**38.46**	**-**	27. Good. 44. Yes very useful. 47. Yes very useful. 52. Effective and useful. 54. Yes very useful. 55. Yes for improve my work. 56. Yes very useful. 57. Yes very useful. 58. Yes very useful to me. 67. Good.

III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAMME				
PROPOSITION	**Good (%)**	**Satisfactory (%)**	**Unsatisfactory (%)**	**Remarks**
a. The structure and sequence of the programme was logical	**89.61**	**10.39**	**-**	4. Outstanding. 27. Sequence of session was good. 44. Very useful. 47. Very useful. 48. This programme is designed in a very effective way. 49. This programme is designed in a very effective way. 52. Effective and useful. 53. Very useful. 54. Very useful. 55. Easy to learn. 56. Very useful. 57. Very useful. 58. Very helpful to all the participants. 67. Good.
b. The programme was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Group discussion cleared many doubts	**80.95**	**17.46**	**1.59**	1. Not taken Place. 27. Gave clarity on the concepts. 44. Very useful. 47. Very useful. 48. It helps interact with the brilliant minds and this helped to understand the topic more deeply. 49. It helps interact with the brilliant minds and this helped to understand the topic more deeply. 52. Clear. 53. Very useful. 54. Very useful. 55. Helpful. 56. Very useful. 67. Good. 70. Yes.

3

(ii) Case studies were relevant	**90.67**	**9.33**	**-**	27. Yes, it was relevant. 44. Very useful. 47. Very useful. 53. Very useful. 54. Very useful. 55. Helpful. 56. Very useful. 57. Very useful. 67. Good. 70. Relevant.
(iii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	**84.21**	**15.79**	**-**	27. Very valuable. 44. Very useful. 47. Very useful. 53. Very useful. 54. Very useful. 55. Helpful. 56. Very useful. 57. Very useful. 67. Good. 70. To great extent.
(iv) Simulation Exercises were valuable	**81.43**	**18.57**	**-**	27. Very valuable. 44. Very useful. 47. Very useful. 53. Very useful. 54. Very useful. 55. Helpful. 56. Very useful. 57. Very useful. 67. Good. 70. Yes.
(v) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	**77.46**	**22.54**	**-**	27. Very useful. 44. Very useful. 47. Very useful. 53. Very useful. 54. Very useful. 55. Helpful. 56. Very useful. 57. Very useful. 67. Yes. 70. Satisfactory.

IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING

Parameters

Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful (%)	Satisfactory (%)	Effective and Useful (%)	Satisfactory (%)
1	**88.16**	**11.84**	**88.68**	**11.32**
2	**91.67**	**8.33**	**90.57**	**9.43**
3	**87.14**	**12.86**	**87.04**	**12.96**
4	**92.65**	**7.35**	**88.00**	**12.00**
5	**90.91**	**9.09**	**89.58**	**10.42**

V. PROGRAMME MATERIALS

	PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks
a.	The Programme material is useful and relevant	**95.83**	**4.17**	**-**	1. Yet to see. 2. Yet to see the Pen Drive. 22. Material was not provided. 27. Very Informative. 32. Very informative. 44. Very useful. 47. Useful. 48. Very useful. 49. Very useful. 52. Yes. 53. Very useful. 54. Very useful. 55. Yes. 56. Very helpful. 57. Very helpful. 58. It's very useful. 67. Good.
b.	The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	**93.15**	**6.85**	**-**	2. Yet to see the Pen Drive. 22. Material was not provided. 27. Very useful. 28. Needed highly the brief notes of classes and lecture for future remembrance. 32. Very useful. 44. Very useful. 47. Useful. 48. Very useful. 49. Very useful. 53. Very useful. 54. Very useful. 55. Yes. 56. Very helpful. 57. Very helpful. 67. Good.
c.	The content was organized and easy to follow	**84.72**	**15.28**	**-**	2. Yet to see the Pen Drive. 27. Good. 32. Good. 44. Very useful. 47. Useful. 48. Very useful. 49. Very useful. 52. Yes. 53. Very useful. 54. Very useful. 55. Yes. 56. Very helpful. 57. Very helpful. 67. Good.

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS	
1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	1. E-Committee, SCI theme. 2. I.T. area requires more thrust, as it is a new thing, nevertheless the speakers have done a good job. 3. Daily only one session followed with another interactive session with its feasibility is necessary for its proper application at work place. 4. Use of IT in court management enhance speedy trial.

	5. To decode a bail matter in the light of Satendra Kumar Antil case; 2. E-court project and use of A.I.
	6. 1. The legal writing tips were very useful; 2. To decide a bail matter in the light of Satendra Kumar Antil case; 3. E-court project and use of A.I.
	7. Judgement writing concept of bail judicial precedents.
	10. I personally feel that whatever addressed by Justice D. Seshadri Naidu, Justice Joymalya Bagchi.
	12. 1. Judgement and order writing skill; 2. Concept of judicial precedent; 3. Discretion of bail.
	13. Judgement writing, Judicial precedents, Consideration on bail.
	14. Reconciling conflicting ideas and maintain balance between freedom of speech and dignity.
	15. Justice oriented.
	17. Bail jurisprudence; Article 21; Binding effect of the judgement.
	18. 1. I will be able to create a new approach to writing judgement and order in a simple language; 2. A lot of energy and enthusiasm.
	19. Outstanding speakers, so much to learn from; Session about technology and law; Bail jurisprudence.
	20. Refreshing memory, helping in excellence, helpful in meeting future changes.
	21. 1. It cleared many doubts; 2. It was useful to my work; 3. It acquainted me with recent judicial developments.
	24. 1. Binding effect of judgment of Hon'ble S.C. & Hon'ble H.C.; 2. Case law related to bail matters; 3. Electronic evidence related to cyber-crime.
	25. Refreshing memory; Helping in excellence; Helpful in meeting future challenges.
	26. 1. Judicial limits & cooperative federalism; 2. Comity of courts & language in court; 3. Recent tech innovations MSTEP, ICJS, JUSTIS, JUDGMENT PORTAL.
	27. 1. Learnt about new concepts of recent laws that have developed in this era; 2. Learnt about recent judgements; 3. Got a clarity of new concepts.
	28. We have learnt about recent laws and the citations given by experienced Hon'ble guests.
	29. 1. Judiciary and media: need for balancing; 2. Bail issues; 3. Cyber-crimes.
	30. 1. Regulation of court proceeding; 2. Application of I.T. Act after Arjun Pandit's judgement; 3. Application of freedom of speech vis-à-vis other persons respect & dignity.
	31. Great learning and hospitality experience.
	32. Learnt about new concepts of recent laws like cyber-crime and cyber law.
	35. 1. Refreshing memory; 2. Helpful in meeting future challenges.
	36. Skill development through latest citations of the Hon'ble courts; Refreshment of memory about leading cases with concerned provisions of law, helpful to deal with present situations; Update knowledge enhancement.
	38. Latest ruling and laws, Bail, Precedents; Information technology uses and application challenges.

	39. 1. Recent judgments of the Hon'ble apex court; 2. Clarification regarding doubts; 3. New trends and methodology in justice dispensing system.
	40. Clarity to all Judicial officers on the point of grant of bail at one platform; Prudential value has been well clarified; AI & its scope in e-court project has been well explained.
	42. Proper use of language in judgements. Use of IT technology ICJS, JUSTIS, JUDGMENT PORTAL etc.
	44. 1. New learning skill & ideas; 2. Got to learn more; 3. Subject concept was clear to me.
	47. 1. New learning skill & ideas; 2. Got to learn more; 3. Subject concept was clear.
	48. New learning skill & ideas; Got to learn more; Subject concept was very clear for me.
	49. New learning skill and ideas; Got to learn more; Subject concept was clear to me.
	50. Very good.
	51. All the sessions of the programme were very useful.
	52. *Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments; Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges; Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project [e-Committee, SCI theme].*
	53. 1. New learning skill & ideas; 2. Got to learn more; 3. Subject concept was clear.
	54. 1. New learning skill & ideas; 2. Got to learn more; 3. Subject concept was clear to me.
	55. 1. Change in my thought process; 2. Learning new ideas & concepts; 3. Do work more effectively.
	56. 1. New learning skills & ideas; 2. Got to learn more that will help in the work; 3. Subject concept was clear to me.
	57. 1. New learning skills & ideas; 2. Subject concept was clear to me; 3. Got to learn more that will help in the work.
	58. 1. Subject concept was clear to me; 2. New learning skills & ideas; 3. Got to know and latest citations.
	59. Jurisprudence of bail.
	60. The programme based on the day to day practical hurdles we get to face during our working period. This is helpful to solve these problems very much.
	61. Very useful, effective programme.
	62. The session of persuasive versus binding effect of judgments is quite handy. Especially language of the court, Apps for us etc. Latest development in technology, future law and role of judiciary is effective in the learning process.
	63. New dimensions in freedom of speech with comparative study with foreign constitutional jurisdictions.
	64. All are good.
	65. 1. Learning different aspects of bails; 2. Knowing about the cyber-crime and its effect on society.
	66. Should be for more duration at least for 4 days; Materials of study should be supplied; Overall a good learning experience.

		67. 1. Learning on contemporary trends in constitutional law; 2. Writing judgement; 3. Developing contours of bail.
		68. Competitive and comparative federalism; Persuasive versus binding effect of judgement. Bail jurisprudence.
		69. 1. Persuasive versus binding effect of judgements; 2. Reverse burden of proof: shifting of onus and statutory requirements.
		70. 1. Use of technology; 2. Changing needs of the society regarding Bail; 3. Use of modern techniques.
		71. 1. Increase our knowledge; 2. Gained up to date knowledge about the speech & technology; 3. Refresh our work.
		72. 1. Art of judgment writing; 2. Development of judicial skill in writing judgements; 3. How to strengthen justice delivery system through technology.
		73. 1. Different case laws relating to bail; 2. Art of writing good judgment; 3. Latest developments in technology.
		74. 1. Art of judgment writing and development of judicial skill; 2. Contemporary judicial developments; 3. Precedent and interpretation of statutes and its applicability in administration of justice delivery system.
		75.1. How to balance between individual interest and social interest; 2. How to write effectively, short and reasoned judgment; 3. Judicial limits of a judge while granting or refusing bail.
		76. A nicely organized programme- 1. Constitutional law; 2. Artificial intelligence.
		77. Judicial precedent.
		78. 1. Deliberation by Mr. Sujit Ghose on right to freedom of speech & experience/ Hate speech; 2. Deliberation by Hon'ble Justice D. Seshadri Naidu on precedents & language in court; 3. Deliberation by Dr. Harold D'Costa on e-courts and cyber laws; All of three above resource persons should be invited invariably as they are experts in their respective field.
2.	Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why	1. Artificial Intelligence.
		2. IT Act. As it is an entirely new concept.
		3. *Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges.*
		4. Checking & protection of data of CIS on monthly basis for data security purpose to prevent manipulation.
		5. The disposal of bail matter and legal writing were very useful and it is our day to day dealing.
		6. The legal writing and disposal of bail matter parts were very useful and it is our day to day major dealing.
		7. Judgment writing, bail.
		10. Justice D. Seshatri Naidu addressed was very useful. I learnt a lot from his address.
		12. Freedom of speech and expression and media liberty.
		13. Judgement & order writing skill; AI in judiciary.
		14. Judgement writing; Consideration while writing orders of bail ensuring that reason does not prejudice any of the sides.
		15. Session presided by Hon'ble Ms. Justice Indira Banerjee.

16. *Session 1: Contemporary Trends in Constitutional Law: Recent Judicial Developments; Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments & Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges. Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project [e-Committee, SCI theme].*

17. Whole programme as it will improve one; in day to day working of the court.

18. All the session are valuable for the sub-ordinate judiciary; It was a wonderful experience.

19. The session with Hon'ble Retd. Justice Indira Banerjee ma'am.

20. *Session 1: Contemporary Trends in Constitutional Law: Recent Judicial Developments; Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges* – were most useful as it provided new vision towards the constitution & bail matters.

21. Every part of the programme was most useful because it was comprehensive, uptodate and useful to my work.

23. *Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges* – It provided update modulation regarding application of law in bail matters particularly through Satendra Kumar Antil case.

24. All programme are most useful because it provided up to date focus on case law.

25. *Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments & Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges.* The topics in both the sessions are closely related with day to day court work. *Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project [e-Committee, SCI theme] & Session 5: Emerging and Future Technology for Effective Judicial Governance [e-Committee, SCI theme]* – is helpful in meeting future challenges also in the e-court project and is dealing with the cases.

26. *Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges*- As it relates to court proceedings particularly the judge/Magistrate faces.

27. *Session 1: Contemporary Trends in Constitutional Law: Recent Judicial Developments* – of the programme because it talks about constitutional law, court rightful ideas and very informative session.

28. The experiences of Hon'ble Former Judge of Supreme Court and other Hon'ble Judges was useful.

29. *Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges*- It provided update mediation regarding application of law in bail matters.

30. Though each and every part of the programme is useful. But especially the application of Satender Kumar Antil Vs CBI case in deciding the bail application by Justice J. Bagchi is very useful.

31. Sessions regarding federalism, bail and judgement writing including development of skill was useful. Use of technology in dispensation of the justice with challenging barriers.

32. *Session 1: Contemporary Trends in Constitutional Law: Recent Judicial Developments and Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments* – of this programme because it talks about constitution law.

34. *Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges.*

35. *Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments; Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges*- This topic is closely related to day to day court work.

36. *Session 1: Contemporary Trends in Constitutional Law: Recent Judicial Developments and Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges-* were most useful to develop new vision towards the constitution, law protected the same & bail matters though advanced technology.

38. Whole programme found useful as it updated latest laws.

39. The well-resourced speakers and discussion and deliberation made by them. The nitty gritty of the law and its application with changing times. The nuances and the recent trends and recent case law discussion enriched the mental horizon of us giving us the broader perspective.

41. All part of the programme are useful for working in court.

42. Programme is useful for betterment of court procedures.

44. *Session 5: Emerging and Future Technology for Effective Judicial Governance [e-Committee, SCI theme]* – because it will help me a lot in court management.

47. *Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project [e-Committee, SCI theme].*

48. In the *Session 1: Contemporary Trends in Constitutional Law: Recent Judicial Developments and Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments; Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges; Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project [e-Committee, SCI theme] & Session 5: Emerging and Future Technology for Effective Judicial Governance [e-Committee, SCI theme].*

49. *Session 1: Contemporary Trends in Constitutional Law: Recent Judicial Developments and Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments; Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges; Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project [e-Committee, SCI theme].*

53. *Session 5: Emerging and Future Technology for Effective Judicial Governance [e-Committee, SCI theme]-* became it will help me a lot in court management.

54. *Session 5: Emerging and Future Technology for Effective Judicial Governance [e-Committee, SCI theme]-* became it will help me a lot in court management.

55. *Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project [e-Committee, SCI theme] & Session 5: Emerging and Future Technology for Effective Judicial Governance [e-Committee, SCI theme]* – It will help to switch to digital platform of work.

56. *Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project [e-Committee, SCI theme]* – because that will help me a lot in court management.

57. *Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project [e-Committee, SCI theme]* – because that will help me a lot in court management.

58. *Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project [e-Committee, SCI theme]* – was very useful because I got now court management.

59. Useful because it was related to my work.

62. *Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments; Session 4: Overview of E-courts Project [e-Committee, SCI theme] & Session 5: Emerging and Future Technology for Effective Judicial Governance [e-Committee, SCI theme].*

63. *Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges.*

65. 1. Bail; 2. Cyber-crime.

67. All the programmes were very useful and good. Discussion and interaction part was useful.

68. All programmes are equally important and useful.

		69. Reverse burden of proof: shifting of onus and statutory requirements.
		71. Developing concept of bail jurisprudence; Reverse burden of proof; Electronic evidence.
		73. *Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments-* By Justice D. Seshadri Naidu; *Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges-* By Hon'ble Justice Joymala Bagchi.
		74. Emerging and future technology for effective judicial governance.
		75. *Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments*; *Session 3: Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges-* both the session are related to our job as a judge of lower judiciary.
		76. The entire programme is highly useful.
		77. Developing contours of bail application.
		78. *Session 2: Precedential Value of High Court Judgments -* by Mr. Sujit Ghose on right to freedom of speech and experience.
3.	Does the programme need further modulations or change	2. IT area should be made more understandable to the uninitiated.
		3. Daily only one session followed with another interactive session with its feasibility in necessity for its proper application of work place.
		5. E-court project might have been discussed more including the digital infrastructure available and provided to each court. The duty of concerned staff to use e-court system may also be discussed.
		6. E-court project might have been discussed more including the digital infrastructure available and provided to each court. The duty of concerned staff to use e-court system may also be discussed.
		7. The programme was well modulated and need not require any changes.
		14. Instead of Zonal conferences organization of intra Zonal conferences. Discussion of landmark judgements recently passed in sentencing, execution, cases etc. and also of judgements passed.
		18. In my opinion the conference was fantastic, there is no need for further modulation or change.
		19. It is absolutely perfect.
		26. Group discussion amongst participants on schedule topic wise programme.
		27. No, it does not require any changes however ground reality of district court must be taken into consideration.
		28. No change, but only to develop the new laws in comparative status through the using new technical approach.
		30. Modulation is required in the local law and also the special law such as POSCO Act and SC/ST Act because the case relating to such Act is rampant in the court.
		31. Programme regarding global change in law and its fruit to the last man of the society.
		36. I think, it is sufficient, however it may be always needed to upgrade, as required in changed circumstances of the society.
		39. It must be made in a way to subserve the need of region wise problems being faced by the particular region.
		42. More and more subjects must be discussed among judges.

		49. No. The programme is well defined and helped us to understand the topic of Right to freedom of speech & expression, language in court. Developing contours of bail jurisprudence etc.
		63. There should be same allotment of time for group discussion in a structured way.
		65. Topics of the programme should be chosen considering the day to day work of the district judiciary.
		66. New topics must be added.
		67. More lectures on S.167 (2) CrPC was required.
		70. No further modulations. But the participants need to develop a interactive attitude and need to interact. Should be given more stress. So that there will be exchange of ideas.
		73. Law relating to civil laws may be chosen.
		74. Interactive or group discussion amongst the participants on the latest development of different laws and changes made through judicial pronouncements may be a part of the programme.
4.	Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective	1. More frequent training is required.
		2. IT area should be made more understandable to the uninitiated.
		3. NJA should make arrangement for every month regional conferences in each region for maximum participation and its utility.
		5. Such kind of programme may be organized frequently.
		6. Such kind of programme may be organized more frequently and few best practices may also be shared and discussed in the light of legal provisions and precedents. Same cadre may be taken for each programme.
		12. Interaction of judicial officer of some more states are required and this Zonal conference should be at least for five days.
		13. Training should be organized at least for a week.
		14. Organization of inter-regional conferences to bring cohesion in how judicial officers deal with cases. Bringing best practices of different states on how they review judicial officers' performance.
		15. By organizing at least 10 days programme so that there may be occasion of every cadre to interact and share knowledge among officer of different states that may be very helpful in expending our vision.
		18. NJA is doing better in organizing such type of seminars.
		20. Repetition of such programme at State level as well.
		23. Kindly call the officers of Bihar Judicial service more frequently because the resource persons at NJA are very rare; Resource persons may also be invited to Bihar Judicial Academy, Patna.
		24. Kindly provide such programme (conference, seminar) to maximum judicial officers.
		25. The programme was very much organized and useful. I think that such type of programme should be organized at certain intervals. The programme is very much effective and it requires no suggestion.
		27. NJA is doing a great job by providing the platform to get the knowledge and recent update about the laws.

	28. The relevancy of electronic data and how it could be entertained in the judicial court.
	29. Resource persons may be invited to Bihar Judicial Academy, Patna.
	30. 1. Organise such type of training programme at regular intervals in physical or virtual mode; 2. Provide the reading material at least 5 days prior to such training programme; 3. Provide also the latest development in the field of cyber-crime and white collar crime. It cannot be completed in short class of 1:30 hours.
	31. Programme that how people at large be benefited by the judiciary and to make bridge to reach the marginal section of the society.
	32. NJA doing a great work in providing a platform to get the knowledge.
	35. The programme is very much useful.
	38. Circulation of materials even for non-participant judicial officer.
	39. 1. First of all the region wise difficulties in justice dispensation be taken; 2. The human trafficking in rampant in my judgeship, if session over the rules and guidelines would be more beneficial; 3. There must be some special session dealing with NDPS, UAPA, SC/ST, POCSO Act instream; 4. Civil matter shall be prominence as disposal of them have implications in reducing the number of criminal cases; 5. Such training be imparted to us people who are manning the core of the judiciary i.e. the civil courts frequently and regularly so as to do more learning, unlearning and reforming our knowledge as per need; 6. E-court information must be demonstration through live computer system not only through slides.
	41. This kind of programme should be conducted time to time for us.
	42. More and more subjects must be discussed among judges.
	48. Programmes may be conducted using video conference for the benefit of more number of participants.
	49. Programmes may be conducted using video conference for the benefit of more number of participants.
	55. Frequently arrange this type seminar and also focus more to digitization and e-court mode.
	56. Everything was nice but sitting arrangement for the participants was very congested.
	57. Everything was nice but sitting arrangement for the participants was very congested.
	59. Lecture should be in Hindi language.
	60. These programmes should be arranged frequently and should be based on different updates.
	62. Before arrival of participants they may be given some modules to be answered in 'yes' or 'no' and MCQs. So that the resource persons might get some idea as to how much the participants are aware of about the subject. Even the participants will be more familiar with the topics before joining the conference.
	63. By taking advantage of video conferencing facility in order to reach maximum number of judicial officers in the country with much less expenses and much less loss of judicial time of the judicial officers and better convenience of all concerned.
	65. Resource person should be selected from different fields of society. There should be interaction between participants.

13

	66. Service is satisfactory.
	67. Best and more useful study materials may kindly be provided. We have good materials we may be provided with more of it.
	71. By providing frequent programmes.
	73. Civil cases and civil appeals in different forum are accumulating and increasing the pendency in civil side. So programme may be taken; to the effect so as to reduce pending cases.
	75. Programme duration must be short so as to include more topic, practical approach to a problem should be included in the programme. There should not be break, except lunch break between sessions.
	76. Periodical programmes through video conferencing at least once in a month for about two hours.
	78. More technical sessions particularly on cyber security.